

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/701,309	03/26/2001	Guizeng Shi	L9289.00120	8781
75	90 04/23/2004		EXAMINER	
Stevens Davis Miller & Mosher			ABRAHAM, ESAW T	
Suite 850 1615 L Street N	ïW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, D			2133	
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

~

			X
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/701,309	SHI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Esaw T Abraham	2133	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	'Ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a t. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO latute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ - 3)□ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. owance except for formal ma		5
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya crection is required if the drawin	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority docum 2. □ Certified copies of the priority docum 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/28/00.) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Page 2

Art Unit: 2133

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 to 9 are presented for examination.

Application/Control Number: 09/701,309

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35
 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d).

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The examiner has been considered the references listed in the information disclosure statement (see attached PTO-1449).

Drawings

4. Figures 1-3 should be designated by a legend such as "prior art" (see page 4, lines 21-27) in order to clarify what is applicant's invention (see MPEP 608.02(g)).

Claim Objections

- 5. Claims 2-8 are objected to because of the following informalities:
- a) Claims 2-6 -- recites "A communication terminal" instead of "The communication terminal".
 - b) Claim 8 -- recites "a base station" instead of 'the base station".
- c) Claim 7 is objected to because: the inclusion of the phrase "the communication terminal apparatus according to claim 1" in line 9 of claim 7 is not clear if the (claim 7) is depends on claim 1 or connected to claim 1. The examiner would appreciate if the applicant would clarify this matter.

.

Application/Control Number: 09/701,309 Page 3

Art Unit: 2133

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by 6. Steele (U.S. PN: 5,867,510).

As per claim 1, Steel teaches or discloses a method of decoding and processing messages in radio communication systems (see col. 1, lines 5-9). Steel in figure 1 discloses a communication system (100) includes a base station (102) and a mobile station (104) communicate through radio signals (see col. 2, lines 51-61) whereby the mobile station comprises a transmitter (returner) (134) for transmitting or returning transmission data (with an error data or non-error data) connected to a digital data processor (130) and a processor (132) comprising an error detector (174) and error corrector (176).

As per claim 2, Steele teaches all the subject matter claimed in claim 1 including that each of the BCH syndrome having a non-zero value uniquely defines the position of a single bit error in a corresponding control access channel (CAC) data portion and the BCH error corrector (158) uses the plurality of BCH syndromes and a correction table (not shown) to correct a single error that may exist in each of the plurality of CAC data portions (step 606) (see col. 8, lines 13-28).

Application/Control Number: 09/701,309 Page 4

Art Unit: 2133

As per claim 4, Steele teaches all the subject matter claimed in claim 1 including Steele in figure 1 teaches CRC error value generator coupled to CRC comparator to check the existence of error.

As per claims 5 and 6, Steele teaches all the subject matter claimed in claim 1 including Steele in figure 1 teaches a transceiver (134, 136) within the mobile system coupled to DSP (digital signal processor) (130) and a microprocessor (132) wherein the DSP and the microprocessor CRC is performed and transmitted by the transmitter (returner) (134) to the base station (102).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 2133

Claims 3, 7 and 8, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steele 7. (U.S. PN: 5,867,510).

As per claim 3, Steele teaches all the subject claim in claim 1 including that each of the BCH syndrome having a non-zero value uniquely defines the position of a single bit error in a corresponding CAC data portion and the BCH error corrector (158) uses the plurality of BCH syndromes and a correction table (not shown) to correct a single error that may exist in each of the plurality of CAC data portions (see fig. 6, step 606 and col. 8, lines 13-28). Steel does not **explicitly** teach the error corrector causes the error bit to be inverted to correct the errors. Nevertheless, as would have been well known to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, employing or adding symbols ("ECC," "redundancy," "protection" or "check" symbols) with transmitted block, cell or frame can detect errors are required in order to locate the position of errors that are present in the bit stream received signal and if the position(s) of the erroneous bit(s) is identified, correction is achieved simply by inverting the identified erroneous bit(s), e.g., by changing a LOGIC ONE to a LOGIC ZERO, or vice versa. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to invert the identified erroneous bits in order to obtain accurate replica of transmitted signals.

As per claim 7, Steel teaches or discloses an apparatus and a method of decoding and processing messages in radio communication systems (see col. 1, lines 5-9). Further, Steel in figure 1 discloses a communication system (100) includes a base station (102) and a mobile station (104) communicates through radio signals (see col. 2, lines 51-61). Furthermore, Steel teaches that a base station including a processor having an error detection code generator operative to generate an error detection code corresponding to information data, an error

Application/Control Number: 09/701,309

well known futures of a processor.

Art Unit: 2133

correction code generator operative to generate a plurality of error correction codes corresponding to a plurality of data portions of the information data and the error detection code and a transmitter coupled to the processor wherein the transmitter operative to transmit an encoded information unit that includes the information data, the error detection code, and the plurality of error correction codes (see claim 35). Steel **does not explicitly teach** a buffer while comparing a unit of transmission, **However**, Steel teach a processor having a detector and corrector coupled to a transmitter and a buffer is known in the art and common element to exist in a processor to store data temporarily. **Therefore**, it would have been obvious to a person having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a buffer with in a processor to store transmitted data. **This modification** would have been obvious because a

As per claim 8, Steel teaches all the subject matter claimed in claim 7 including Steel in figure 1 teaches a transceiver (see elements 116 and 118) for receiving or transmitting (retransmitting) data.

person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so because a buffer is

8. Claim 9, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Lockhart et al. (U.S. PN: 6,161,207) in view of over Steele (U.S. PN: 5,867,510).

As per claim 9, Lockhart et al. in figure 1 teach or disclose a communication unit (10) comprising a transceiver (11), a processor (12) and a storing unit (13) wherein the processor includes a CRC error check (30), CRC generator (34) coupled to the storing unit and to a NAK unit (negative re-transmitter) (36). Lockhart et al. **do not explicitly teach** correcting the error on

Application/Control Number: 09/701,309

Art Unit: 2133

the communication unit. **However**, Steele in figure 1 teaches or discloses a Base station (102) comprising a transmitter (118) for transmitting data to the mobile station (104), a CRC error detection code generator (106) for detecting errors coupled to a BCH error correction code

Page 7

generator (108) for correcting errors. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having

an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of

Lockhart et al. to employ an error corrector for correcting the detected errors as taught by Steel.

This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art

would have been motivated to employ a process for detecting errors and decoding processing

message in order to increase call completion rates in RF communication systems (see col. 2,

lines 4-8).

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US PN: 6320852 Obuchi et al.

US PN: 6,134,694 Uebayashi et al.

US PN: 6,157,628 Uebayashi et al.

US PN: 6275966 Sitterley

US PN: 5968197 Doiron

US PN: 5629948 Hagiwara et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/701,309

Art Unit: 2133

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Esaw Abraham whose telephone number is (703) 305-7743. The examiner

can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are successful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert DeCady can be reached on (703) 305-9595. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Esaw Abraham

Art unit: 2133

Lyry of Lamarre
for
Albert DeCady
Primary Examiner

Page 8